

Analysis:

Food for Thought: Dietary Nootropics for the Optimisation of Military Operators Cognitive Performance

Christopher Vine, Tilly Spurr, Sam Blacker

¹ Occupational Performance Research Group, Institute of Applied Sciences, University of Chichester

ORCID:

Christopher Vine – 0000-0002-3592-9894

Tilly Spurr – 0000-0002-2600-3512

Sam Blacker – 0000-0003-3862-3572

✉ Address for correspondence:

Dr Christopher Vine,

Institute of Applied Sciences, University of Chichester, England. PO19 6PE,

Tel: +44 (0) 1243 816231, Email: c.vine@chi.ac.uk

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests. The authors declare there to be no conflicts of interest/competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mr Marcus Peach for his support with the preparation of this manuscript.

23 **Abstract**

24 Nootropics are compounds that enhance cognitive performance and have been highlighted as
25 a medium-term human augmentation technology that could support soldier performance. Given
26 the differing ethical, safety, and legal considerations associated with the pharmaceutical subset
27 of nootropics, this analysis focuses on dietary supplementation which may enhance cognition
28 during training and operations. Numerous supplements have been investigated as possible
29 nootropics, however research is often not context specific or of high quality, leading to
30 questions regarding efficacy. There are many other complex cofactors that may affect the
31 efficacy of any dietary nootropic supplement which is designed to improve cognition, such as
32 external stressors (e.g., sleep deprivation, high physical workloads), task specifics (e.g.,
33 cognitive processes required), and other psychological constructs (e.g., placebo/nocebo effect).
34 Moreover, military population considerations, such as prior nutritional knowledge and current
35 supplement consumption (e.g., caffeine), along with other issues such as supplement
36 contamination should be evaluated when considering dietary nootropic use within military
37 populations. However, given the increasing requirement for cognitive capabilities by military
38 personnel to complete role-related tasks, dietary nootropics could be highly beneficial in
39 specific contexts. Whilst current evidence is broadly weak, nutritional nootropic supplements
40 may be of most use to the military end user, during periods of high military specific stress.
41 Currently, caffeine and L-tyrosine are the leading nootropic supplements candidates within the
42 military context. Future military specific research on nootropics should be of high quality and
43 use externally valid methodologies to maximise the translation of research to practice.

44 **Key Messages:**

- 45 • Nootropics have recently been highlighted as a medium-term human augmentation
46 technology that could support soldier performance by the UK Ministry of Defence
47 report – ‘Human Augmentation – The Dawn of a New Paradigm’.
- 48 • Herein we discuss the need for cognitive enhancement; current evidence; additional
49 considerations; and future directions all within the military context of dietary
50 nootropics.
- 51 • Should robust evidence demonstrate the utility of dietary nootropics to military
52 operators, then they could provide a cost-effective solution compared with other
53 domains of human augmentation.
- 54 • Future dietary nootropics research within the military sphere should ensure high-quality
55 methodologies are employed, with efforts made to maximise external validity wherever
56 possible.

57

58 **Background**

59 Substantial efforts are made by militaries to ensure combat readiness is maintained [1].
60 The UK Ministry of Defence report – ‘Human Augmentation – The Dawn of a New Paradigm’
61 [2], documents a breath of anticipated human augmentation technologies to support military
62 personnel up to ~2050. Similar approaches to human augmentation through technological,
63 methodological, pharmacological interventions have also been discussed in the peer reviewed
64 literature for athletes, the military and other applications [3]. Human augmentation
65 interventions follow different timescales of realisation and have varying degrees of uncertainty
66 and feasibility. Nootropics are identified in The Dawn of a New Paradigm’ report [2] as a
67 technology of medium-term interest in the category of optimisation methods. As with all
68 possible augmentation strategies the efficacy of nootropics needs to be comprehensively
69 evaluated [3].

70 Nootropics, are defined as a *“heterogeneous group of compounds of diverse chemical*
71 *composition and biological function that allegedly facilitate learning and memory or overcome*
72 *natural or induced cognitive impairments”* [4]. The term is commonly interchanged with terms
73 such as ‘cognitive enhancers’ or ‘smart drugs’ [5] and includes compounds such as stimulants
74 (e.g., amphetamines), herbal compounds (e.g., caffeine, nicotine, ginkgo biloba), amino acids
75 (e.g., tyrosine) and pharmaceutical drugs [2]. As surmised by Schifano and colleagues [5],
76 numerous classifications of nootropics have been attempted, resulting in some ambiguity as to
77 substances that are classified as a nootropic. Whilst the realisation of nootropics is considered
78 medium term [for the Ministry of Defence] (within next 10 years), pharmaceutical drugs were
79 used for cognitive enhancement by soldiers in World War II [6]. Given the differing ethical,
80 safety, and legal considerations associated with the pharmaceutical subset of nootropics, the
81 present paper will focus on dietary supplementation of nootropics which may support cognitive
82 enhancement within an operational setting. With current interest in holistic soldier

83 performance, renewed interest in nootropics, and sports specific products containing
84 nootropics (e.g., energy gels) this analysis will cover the need for cognitive enhancement;
85 current evidence; additional considerations; and future directions.

86 **Why the need for cognitive enhancement?**

87 During military training and operations, personnel face numerous external stressors,
88 including extreme physical exertion, high cognitive demands, exposure to austere
89 environments, and restriction of sleep and caloric intake [7]. Technology advancements and
90 compressed time for decision making have further increased cognitive demands [8]. Should
91 military personnel fail to manage these demands it could result in negative outcomes such as
92 suboptimal performance, injuries, or fatalities [9]. For example, attenuations in cognitive
93 performance have been demonstrated because of physical exertion during military load carriage
94 tasks [10] and increased anxiety levels [7]. As a result, when individuals and organisations
95 refer to cognitive enhancement modalities, in most instances ‘enhancement’ is in fact attempts
96 to mitigate/attenuate the deficits in cognitive performance, with ‘true’ enhancement (i.e.,
97 beyond normative performance or above a biological ceiling) being a rarity [11]. Thus, dietary
98 nootropics, within the military context are likely in fact to optimise up to biological potential
99 or mitigate against under performance, as opposed to enhancing beyond biological potential.

100 **Current Evidence**

101 Pomeroy et al. [12] have presented the most recent and comprehensive evidence for the
102 effect of dietary supplements on cognitive performance in military personnel (and young
103 adults). The review reported findings from investigations on the supplementation of
104 carbohydrate, amino acids (L-tyrosine and beta-alanine), omega-3, nitrates, prebiotics, B- and
105 multi-vitamins, and herb/plant-based supplements (caffeine, guarana, flavonoids, ginseng, and
106 ginkgo biloba). The authors concluded that for most supplements the evidence base was

107 inconsistent between studies, and although positive effects were observed for a range of
108 supplements, these were not typically observed across all cognitive domains or all metrics of a
109 particular cognitive domain. The authors only concluded that L-tyrosine and caffeine could be
110 used under certain situations to enhance cognitive performance. However, most worryingly
111 when compared to the SIGN50 guidelines, only 73% of the research within this area, and
112 included within the review, was recorded as being low quality. Critically, a large portion of
113 the studies in the review involved no stressors experienced in military work and training (e.g.,
114 sleep restriction/deprivation, high cognitive or physical load), with only two papers
115 investigating combined stressors. This is despite the external validity of employing a military
116 stressor within the study methodology. Thompson and colleagues [13] investigated nitrate
117 consumption on concomitant exercise and fatiguing cognitive assessments, whilst Hoffman
118 and colleagues [14] investigated beta-alanine supplementation during 28 days of fatiguing
119 military training. Along with research quality and the external validity of methodologies
120 employed, supplement dosage likely plays a significant role in the results observed to date. For
121 example, Lieberman et al. [15] investigated caffeine dosage on U.S. Navy S.E.A.L trainees;
122 with results indicating an optimum dosage of 200 mg caffeine to improve cognitive function
123 which had been degraded by operational stressors (including sleep deprivation). Similarly,
124 recent evidence has suggested that genetic phenotypes may influence the physiological
125 responses observed following supplementation (e.g., caffeine; [16]). Bioavailability of the
126 nootropic supplements may also contribute to the variation in results observed, as this can be
127 affected by factors including the consumption matrix and the nootropic source [17]. Notably,
128 measuring the bioavailability of certain nootropics can be particularly difficult due to their
129 mechanism of effect being within the brain.

130 Critically, there were also a range of dietary supplements that were not covered in the
131 review by Pomeroy et al. [12] that purportedly have nootropic properties. For example,

132 McMorris et al. [18] demonstrated a 7-day loading of creatine monohydrate attenuated
133 decrements in prefrontal cortex performance and mood associated with 24-h sleep deprivation.
134 Waldman and colleagues [19] demonstrated that a ketone monoester consumed with
135 carbohydrate increased psychomotor vigilance test response time and incongruent flanker
136 response time, reciprocal reaction time, and responses correct per second following exercise
137 (30-minute ramp and 10 km time trial) compared with a carbohydrate control. Despite the
138 different supplements investigated in these two examples, similar mechanism regarding brain
139 energetics are likely at play; highlighting the importance of utilising methodologies that reflect
140 military environments where stressors may alter brain energetics. For example, during field
141 exercises or deployments where energy expenditure exceeds energy intake, during sleep
142 deprivation, or when processing information from multiple sources over a prolonged period.

143 In the recent review by Brunyé et al., [11], nutritional and dietary interventions were
144 considered a method of indirect cognitive enhancement, compared with other direct cognitive
145 enhancement methods such as ‘Noninvasive Brain Stimulation’ or ‘Reality Augmentation’.
146 Arguably however there is a continuum of effector pathways for nutritional supplements, with
147 some having more of a direct effect on brain function compared with others. For example,
148 creatine, and ketone monoesters may influence cognitive performance by way of altering brain
149 energetics. In contrast, a recent systematic review by Cooke et al. [20] concluded that
150 modulation of gut microbiota provided a promising strategy for cognitive enhancement, despite
151 the research limitations. There may also be nutritional strategies which have even more indirect
152 effects and may improve cognitive performance via second order effects. For example, during
153 load carriage, ratings of mental effort, thermal comfort, and ratings of perceived exertion have
154 been demonstrated to increase [21]. Should nutritional supplements be able to positively alter
155 perceptions (e.g., thermal comfort; [22]), then the unfavourable use of working memory
156 capacity by internal distractors (e.g., increasing thermal discomfort) could be prevented. This

157 could plausibly mitigate against this decrease in cognitive processing efficiency and subsequent
158 impacts on performance effectiveness [22].

159 **Additional Considerations**

160 In some instances, nootropic supplements may be highly advantageous to military operators
161 beyond just improving cognitive performance. For example, creatine and omega-3 fatty acids
162 may have a neuroprotective effect against symptoms of traumatic brain injuries and concussion
163 [23] and beetroot juice improves athletic performance/endurance [24]. However, the converse
164 may also be the case for some nootropic supplements, with negative implications associated
165 with their use. For example, caffeine gum may enhance acute cognitive performance during a
166 night operation, however it could also reduce subsequent sleep quality or quantity [25] leading
167 to a larger cognitive decrement on subsequent days.

168 Contamination of dietary supplements with banned substances also presents a risk for
169 military personnel [12]. Crawford and colleagues [26] identified 650 dietary supplement
170 products marketed for brain health and cognitive performance, content analysis from the subset
171 of twelve products selected demonstrated that 67% did not contain a listed ingredient, whilst
172 83% contained a non-listed ingredient. A prudent step would be to suggest that all nootropic
173 supplements used with military personal should be batch tested.

174 The nutritional intake of soldiers should be considered when assessing the likely efficacy
175 of dietary nootropic supplements. A recent study of British Army standard-entry infantry
176 trainees, identified their nutritional knowledge compared with civilians was significantly worse
177 [27]. Whilst dietary intake was not reported, it likely infers that their diet would be suboptimal
178 when choice was involved. Given that some dietary nootropic supplements are highly prevalent
179 within some diets/populations, this could also impact the efficacy of a particular nootropic. For
180 example, Knapik and colleagues [28] reported higher caffeine consumption within military

181 personnel (n = 26,680), compared to National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data.
182 The placebo/nocebo effect on a supplement's efficacy should also be considered. A recent
183 systematic review has suggested a small to medium effect (d = 0.35) on sports performance
184 can be induced though placebo and nocebo effects of nutritional ergogenic aids [29]; with
185 dramatically larger effects evident following the use of pre-conditioning procedures. There are
186 likely a range of other factors that need to be considered prior to implementing a nootropic
187 intervention within military populations.

188 **Future Directions**

189 Existing research on the impact of dietary supplements on cognitive performance in
190 military personnel (and healthy young adults) is generally poor quality [12]. Military focused
191 dietary nootropics research should utilise high-quality research approaches, with externally
192 valid methodologies to ensure the translation potential of the research outcomes. Identifying
193 exact user cases (e.g., tier 1 operators on sequential night operations), where cognitive
194 enhancement would be beneficial, would support the down selection of candidate supplements
195 and the external validity of the research methods employed. Interdisciplinary research which
196 seeks to combine nootropic supplementation with other cognitive enhancement methods may
197 also be an avenue of future interest. Equally, establishing the smallest effect size of interest is
198 essential to correctly power the investigation, but also for the interpretation and translation of
199 research findings. As noted by Burke [30], there are several questions surrounding supplement
200 usage within the athlete population which would be equally relevant to military operators and
201 their potential use of dietary nootropics. Firstly, what are the effects of co-ingesting dietary
202 supplements each with proven benefits (e.g., a hypothetical supplement which contain both
203 caffeine and L-tyrosine) and secondly, the influence of repeated supplement uses within a short
204 time frame (half-life, desensitisation). Co-ingestion may be of particular interest with respect
205 to military use of nootropics; should research suggest that different substances can affect

206 different domains of cognitive function. Similarly, repeated supplement use may be of
207 particular interest given that military operations are frequently longer than sporting events and
208 may last upwards of 24 hours. Both areas present significant research opportunities, which
209 would provide important outcomes to the military end user. Given these future directions, and
210 the relatively more complex operational environments of the military compared with sporting
211 contexts, the formulation of evidence-based guidelines which can support military personnel
212 may be prudent. A valuable first step would be developing a comprehensive framework for
213 supplement characterisation (e.g. proven vs potential/promising vs unlikely).

214 **Conclusions**

215 Dietary nootropics continue to be a growing area of interest within the sports and
216 occupational research spheres. This may be a result of their lesser ethical, safety, and legal
217 considerations when compared with the pharmaceutical subset. Should research on dietary
218 based nootropics demonstrate benefits to military operators, then they could provide a cost-
219 effective enhancement compared with other domains of human augmentation. Dietary
220 nootropics will likely only provide performance optimisation up to and not beyond biological
221 potential. Future military focused dietary nootropics research should ensure high-quality
222 methodologies and attempt to maximise external validity wherever possible. Selection of
223 nootropics, should consider the individual characteristics of the military end-user (e.g., habitual
224 consumption, baseline diet etc.) and external stressors they are exposed to in their training and
225 work environment.

226 **References**

- 227 1 Nindl BC, Williams TJ, Deuster PA, *et al.* Strategies for optimizing military
228 physical readiness and preventing musculoskeletal injuries in the 21st century.
229 *US Army Med Dep J.* 2013.
- 230 2 Ministry of Defence. Human Augmentation —The Dawn of a New Paradigm, A
231 Strategic Implications Project. . Shrivenham 2021.
- 232 3 Ryan BJ, Charkoudian N, Joyner MJ. Human performance augmentation: the
233 importance of integrative physiological quantification. *Journal of Physiology.*
234 2023;601:407–16.
- 235 4 Malik R, Sangwan A, Saihgal R, *et al.* Towards better brain management:
236 nootropics. *Curr Med Chem.* 2007;14:123–31.
- 237 5 Schifano F, Catalani V, Sharif S, *et al.* Benefits and Harms of ‘Smart Drugs’
238 (Nootropics) in Healthy Individuals. *Drugs.* 2022;82:633–47.
- 239 6 Morelli M, Tognotti E. Brief history of the medical and non-medical use of
240 amphetamine-like psychostimulants. *Exp Neurol.* 2021;342:113754.
- 241 7 Nibbeling N, Oudejans RRD, Ubink EM, *et al.* The effects of anxiety and
242 exercise-induced fatigue on shooting accuracy and cognitive performance in
243 infantry soldiers. *Ergonomics.* 2014;57:1366–79.
- 244 8 Billing DC, Fordy GR, Friedl KE, *et al.* The implications of emerging
245 technology on military human performance research priorities. *J Sci Med Sport.*
246 2021;24:947–53.
- 247 9 Martin K, McLeod E, Périard J, *et al.* The impact of environmental stress on
248 cognitive performance: a systematic review. *Hum Factors.*
249 2019;0018720819839817.
- 250 10 Armstrong N, Smith S, Risius D, *et al.* Cognitive performance of military men
251 and women during prolonged load carriage. *militaryhealth.bmj.com.* Published
252 Online First: 2022.
- 253 11 Brunyé TT, Brou R, Doty TJ, *et al.* A Review of US Army Research
254 Contributing to Cognitive Enhancement in Military Contexts. *Journal of*
255 *Cognitive Enhancement.* 2020;1–16.
- 256 12 Pomeroy DE, Tooley KL, Probert B, *et al.* A systematic review of the effect of
257 dietary supplements on cognitive performance in healthy young adults and
258 military personnel. *Nutrients.* 2020;12:545.
- 259 13 Thompson KG, Turner L, Prichard J, *et al.* Influence of dietary nitrate
260 supplementation on physiological and cognitive responses to incremental cycle
261 exercise. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol.* 2014;193:11–20.
- 262 14 Hoffman JR, Landau G, Stout JR, *et al.* β -alanine supplementation improves
263 tactical performance but not cognitive function in combat soldiers. *J Int Soc*
264 *Sports Nutr.* 2014;11:15.

- 265 15 Lieberman HR, WJ Tharion WJ, Shukitt-Hale B, *et al.* Effects of caffeine, sleep loss,
266 and stress on cognitive performance and mood during US Navy SEAL training.
267 *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2002;164:250–61.
- 268 16 Fulton JL, Dinas PC, Carrillo AE, *et al.* Impact of genetic variability on physiological
269 responses to caffeine in humans: A systematic review. *Nutrients*. Published Online
270 First: 2018. doi: 10.3390/nu10101373
- 271 17 Schönfeldt HC, Pretorius B, Hall N. Bioavailability of nutrients. *Caballero, B, P*
272 *Finglas and F Toldrá, F (eds) The Encyclopedia of Food and Health*. 2016;1:401–6.
- 273 18 McMorris T, Harris RC, Swain J, *et al.* Effect of creatine supplementation and sleep
274 deprivation, with mild exercise, on cognitive and psychomotor performance, mood
275 state, and plasma concentrations of catecholamines and cortisol. *Psychopharmacology*
276 *(Berl)*. 2006;185:93–103.
- 277 19 Waldman HS, O’Neal EK, Barker GA, *et al.* A Ketone Monoester with Carbohydrate
278 Improves Cognitive Measures Post-Exercise, but not Performance in Trained Females.
279 *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2023.
- 280 20 Cooke MB, Catchlove S, Tooley KL. Examining the influence of the human gut
281 microbiota on cognition and stress: A systematic review of the literature. *Nutrients*.
282 2022;14:4623.
- 283 21 Vine CAJ, Coakley SL, Blacker SD, *et al.* Physiological and Subjective Responses to a
284 Novel Military Specific Load Carriage Treadmill Protocol. *Journal of Sport and*
285 *Exercise Science*. 2022;6.
- 286 22 Jeffries O, Waldron M. The effects of menthol on exercise performance and thermal
287 sensation: A meta-analysis. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2019;22:707–15.
- 288 23 Oliver JM, Anzalone AJ, Turner SM. Protection before impact: the potential
289 neuroprotective role of nutritional supplementation in sports-related head trauma.
290 *Sports medicine*. 2018;48:39–52.
- 291 24 Zamani H, De Joode M, Hossein IJ, *et al.* The benefits and risks of beetroot juice
292 consumption: A systematic review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2021;61:788–804.
- 293 25 Gardiner C, Weakley J, Burke LM, *et al.* The effect of caffeine on subsequent sleep: A
294 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2023;101764.
- 295 26 Crawford C, Boyd C, Avula B, *et al.* A public health issue: dietary supplements
296 promoted for brain health and cognitive performance. *The Journal of Alternative and*
297 *Complementary Medicine*. 2020;26:265–72.
- 298 27 Rawcliffe AJ, Ogden HB, Rushmere J, *et al.* Nutritional knowledge in British Army
299 recruits during basic training. *BMJ Mil Health*. 2022.
- 300 28 Knapik JJ, Steelman RA, Trone DW, *et al.* Prevalence of caffeine consumers, daily
301 caffeine consumption, and factors associated with caffeine use among active duty
302 United States military personnel. *Nutr J*. 2022;21:22.

- 303 29 Hurst P, Schipof-Godart L, Szabo A, *et al.* The placebo and nocebo effect on sports
304 performance: a systematic review. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2020;20:279–92.
- 305 30 Burke LM. Practical issues in evidence-based use of performance supplements:
306 supplement interactions, repeated use and individual responses. *Sports Medicine.*
307 2017;47:79–100.
- 308