

Journal of
FitnessResearch  *.edu.au*

About this publication

The **Journal of Fitness Research** is a publication from the research partnership between Australian Institute of Fitness, Australian Fitness Network, and University of the Sunshine Coast focusing on the fitness industry and related populations. The journal articles can be accessed online as Open access at www.fitnessresearch.edu.au

We are dedicated to promoting the benefits of fitness and protecting against unsafe or ineffective exercise methods. Fitness Research explores the frontiers of fitness, provides evidence for best practice and improves the way people exercise to maximise participation, adherence and enjoyment.

Journal of Fitness Research makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in this publication. However, **Journal of Fitness Research** and its agents and licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness or suitability for any purpose of the Content and disclaim all such representations and warranties whether express or implied to the maximum extent permitted by law. Any views expressed in this publication are the views of the authors and are not the views of Journal of Fitness Research and its associated staff and organisations.

Editor in Chief – Mark McKean

Publisher – Australian Institute of Fitness

Design – Australian Fitness Network

Copyright 2013 by Journal of Fitness Research, all rights reserved.

CONTENTS

- 4 EFFECTS OF KETTLEBELL TRAINING ON AEROBIC CAPACITY, MUSCULAR STRENGTH, BALANCE, FLEXIBILITY, AND BODY COMPOSITION**
Nick Beltz, Dustin Erbes, John P. Porcari, Ray Martinez, Scott Doberstein, Carl Foster
- 14 IN-SEASON PLYOMETRIC TRAINING TRANSFERS TO SPORT SPECIFIC MOVEMENTS**
Jennifer J. Didier, Page Glave and Jeremy Montz
- 23 EFFECTS OF TRX VERSUS TRADITIONAL RESISTANCE TRAINING PROGRAMS ON MEASURES OF MUSCULAR PERFORMANCE IN ADULTS**
Jeffrey Janot, Taylor Heltne, Chelsea Welles, Jaime Riedl, Heidi Anderson, Ashley Howard, and Sue Lynn Myhre
- 39 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS OF BIOMECHANICAL PRINCIPLES IN RESISTANCE TRAINING: MOMENTS AND MOMENT ARMS**
Justin W.L. Keogh, Jason P. Lake, Paul A. Swinton
- 49 INFLUENCE OF LOAD ON EXPRESSIONS OF UPPER BODY POWER DURING MEDICINE BALL THROWS**
Ava Kerr and Mark Sayers
- 57 CAN A SINGLE 6RM SQUAT SCORE PREDICT STRENGTH RATIOS IN FEMALE FOOTBALLERS?**
Daniel P Marshall, Brendan J Burkett, Anthony G Boutagy and Mark R McKean

EDITORIAL COMMENT

One of the key aims of the Journal of Fitness Research was to develop a scientific Journal that had a broad scope of content aimed essentially at both academics and professionals working in the fitness, exercise and health related fields. As part of our continued commitment to provide quality peer reviewed material to our readers, members of our Editorial Board agreed that a new style of publication may be well suited to our Journal.

This issue; December 2013; contains the first of this new style of publication and will be published as part of a newly titled category called 'Industry Education'. This style of paper will be by invitation from the Editor in Chief or by acceptance of a proposal submitted to the Editor in Chief in advance. Manuscripts in this category of publication will still undergo a double blind peer review process to ensure the standard of publication is maintained across all accepted publications.

The first manuscript in this new category is written by Associate Professor Justin Keogh, Dr Paul Swinton, and Dr Jason Lake. Justin is from the Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine at Bond University, as well as being a member of our Editorial Board for the Journal of Fitness Research. Dr Keogh's research focuses on understanding the acute stresses, and the chronic adaptations resulting from a range of physical activities for athletic populations and older adults; and older adults motives and barriers to continual participation. His sports science research has concentrated on rugby union, powerlifting, sprinting, golf and strongman. His older adult research involves community-dwelling and residential care elders as well as those with chronic conditions especially cancer. Dr Paul Swinton is from the Department of Sport and Exercise Science University of Chichester in Chichester, United Kingdom and Dr Jason Lake is from the School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University in Aberdeen, United Kingdom.

The Journal of Fitness Research team believes this will be a welcome addition to our Journal's scope of articles and welcomes proposals in this category for future publications.

Dr Mark McKean
Editor in Chief
Journal of Fitness Research

INDUSTRY EDUCATION

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS OF BIOMECHANICAL PRINCIPLES IN RESISTANCE TRAINING: MOMENTS AND MOMENT ARMS

Justin W.L. Keogh^{1,2,3}, **Jason P. Lake**⁴, **Paul A. Swinton**⁵

¹ Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond University, Australia

² Sports Performance Research Institute New Zealand, AUT University, Auckland, New Zealand

³ Faculty of Science, Health, Education and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast, Australia

⁴ Department of Sport and Exercise Science University of Chichester, Chichester, UK

⁵ School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK

Corresponding Author: Justin Keogh

Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond University, Robina, QLD, Australia, 4229,

Tel: +61 7 5595 4487, Fax: +61 7 5595 4480, Email: jkeogh@bond.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Exercise professionals routinely prescribe resistance training to clients with varied goals. Therefore, they need to be able to modify the difficulty of a variety of exercises and to understand how such modifications can alter the relative joint loading on their clients so to maximise the potential for positive adaptation and to minimise injury risk. This paper is the first in a three part series that will examine how a variety of biomechanical principles and concepts have direct relevance to the prescription of resistance training for the general and athletic populations as well as for musculoskeletal injury rehabilitation. In this paper, we start by defining the terms moment (torque), moment arms, compressive, tensile and shear forces as well as joint stress (pressure). We then demonstrate how an understanding of moments and moment arms is integral to the exercise professionals' ability to develop a systematic progression of variations of common exercises. In particular, we examine how a variety of factors including joint range of motion, body orientation, type of external loading, the lifter's anthropometric proportions and the position of the external load will influence the difficulty of each exercise variation. We then highlight the primary results of several selected studies which have compared the resistance moment arms and joint moments, forces or stresses that are encountered during selected variations of common lower body resistance training exercises. We hope that exercise professionals will benefit from this knowledge of applied resistance training biomechanics and be better able to systematically progress exercise difficulty and to modify joint loading as a result. The two remaining articles in this series will focus on the neuromechanical properties of the human musculoskeletal system and better understanding the biomechanical implications of a variety of alternative resistance training techniques, respectively.

Keywords: biomechanics; forces; joint loading; moments; resistance training progressions.

INTRODUCTION

In this three part series, we will explain the relevance of several key biomechanical principles and concepts to the prescription of resistance training for athletic, general population and rehabilitation clients. This, the first paper in the series, will focus on how the concepts of moments (also commonly referred to as torques) are integral to the understanding of resistance training progression and in reducing or increasing the loads across particular joints and muscles. In particular, we will describe how these moments and joint loads may change as a function of: 1) Joint range of motion; 2) Body orientation; 3) Type of external loading; 4) Lifter's anthropometric proportions; and 5) Position of the external load. However, in order to achieve these objectives, we will first need to define: 1) Tensile, compression and shear forces and stress (pressure); and 2) Moments and moment arms. Following this, a brief review of some relevant studies that have examined how the joint loads change as a function of the manner in which exercise is performed is provided. The selected studies all examined common multi-joint lower body exercises and appeared to use well-validated biomechanical models in their analyses.

Biomechanical Definitions: Forces and Stress

A number of internal forces are produced during resistance training and activities of daily living. Muscular forces are internal forces that are transmitted via tendons to bones that tend to cause movement of the distal segment. During these activities, passive forces are also developed in the ligaments spanning the relevant joints so to minimise unwanted movements and to provide greater joint stability. Resistance training is typically prescribed to increase one or a combination of strength, power, endurance or hypertrophy of the musculoskeletal system (muscles, bone, tendons and ligaments), with the ultimate aim of improving physical performance, appearance and health while reducing the risk of musculoskeletal injury in sport or activities of daily living. In essence, the greater the forces applied to the body during resistance training, the greater the potential for improved physical performance and joint stability due to the positive adaptations in the

muscles, bones, tendons and ligaments. However, if these forces are too large and encountered too often, injury may also occur. For a review of the injuries associated with the resistance training sports of bodybuilding, powerlifting and Olympic weightlifting please consult a book chapter ¹ as well as a more recent study on strongman training ². Therefore, the exercise professional needs to understand how the resistance exercises they prescribe and the way each client performs these exercises will dictate the outcome.

Resistance forces resulting from external loads (e.g. bodyweight or resistance training equipment such as dumbbells, barbells and cables) requires the production of muscular forces to counteract their effects. In addition to muscular forces, resistance training both generates and relies on, other internal forces including compressive, tensile and shear forces as well as stress (pressure). These factors also need to be considered in terms of exercise safety. A description of these concepts and some anatomical examples are given in Table 1.

BIOMECHANICAL DEFINITIONS: MOMENTS AND MOMENT ARMS

When a load e.g. a dumbbell acts at a distance from an axis of rotation (e.g. joint centre), rotation of the affected segment tends to occur. The moving body segments can be described as levers, with examples of all three lever order systems present in the human body. Nevertheless, the most common lever in the human body is the third class system in which the resistance force(s) have a larger moment arm than the muscle force(s). While this arrangement reduces the strength across the joint, it allows large ranges and speed of motion. This tendency to rotate is called a moment (or torque) and is most easily calculated as the product of the magnitude of the force (F) and the length of the moment arm (MA_{arm}) (see Equation 1). While we may typically focus on the extension and flexion moments for many resistance exercises like the back squat, deadlift, chin ups, and bicep curls, moments can be applied in all three planes of motion.

Equation 1

$$M = F \times M_{Arm} \text{ (Eq. 1)}$$

where M = moment; F = magnitude of the force and
M_{Arm} = length of the moment arm.

The moment arm of the resistance force is defined as the perpendicular distance between two parallel lines, these being through the joint centre and the point of force application, with both lines oriented in the direction of the force. When performing any free weight or bodyweight exercise, the line of the resistance force is vertically downward due to gravity. Hence, in order for the resistance moment arm to be perpendicular to the line of the vertical force in these exercises, the resistance moment arm must be the horizontal distance from the line of the force and the parallel line through the joint centre. See Figure 1 for an example of how the resistance moment arms and therefore the difficulty of the exercise change throughout the range of motion for the bicep curl.

We typically try to progressively increase the load

lifted during resistance training. Any increase in load increases the resistance force, which will in turn increase the joint moment and require more muscular force to perform the exercise (see Equation 2 for an isometric action).

Equation 2

$$MJ = MR \text{ (Eq. 2)}$$

$$FM \times M_{ArmM} = FR \times M_{ArmR}$$

where MJ = joint moment; MR = resistance moment;
FM = magnitude of the muscle force; M_{ArmM} = length of the muscle moment arm; FR = magnitude of the resistance force;
M_{ArmR} = length of the resistance moment arm.

The complexity of the human body creates some potential challenges to the application of Equation 2. The first issue is that most resistance exercises involve multiple resistance and muscular forces. As an example in the dumbbell bicep curl, the forearm, hand and dumbbell provide the resistance forces and this is opposed by the combined action of several agonist muscles including the bicep brachii, brachialis

Table 1: Definitions, examples and implications of tensile, compressive and shear forces and stress within the body.

Type of Force	Definition	Examples and Implications
Tensile force	A force that tends to pull two tissues apart.	Hanging from a chin up bar whereby the weight (downward force caused by gravity) of the body tends to decompress (separate) the vertebrae. Forces that tend to pull apart (rupture) the posterior and anterior cruciate ligaments during common leg exercises such as leg extensions, leg curls and lunges.
Compressive force	A force that tends to push two tissues together.	Performing a squat whereby the barbell load and the upper body's weight tends to compress the lumbar vertebrae. Performing a forward lunge whereby the weight of the barbell load and the lifters body weight as well as their forward momentum tends to compress the femur and tibia and internal knee joint structures.
Shear force	A force that tends to cause two tissues to slide past each other.	Performing a bent over row whereby the barbell load and upper body tends to cause the back to round and the lumbar vertebrae to slide past each other, stressing structures such as the lumbar ligaments and vertebral discs. Performing a knee extension whereby the femur and tibia tend to slide past each other and increasing the load on the anterior cruciate ligaments.
Stress	The magnitude of an internal force over a given anatomical area. This is calculated in the same way as the pressure in a fluid.	The compressive force of bodyweight and any other external load acting on an anatomical structure of a given area e.g. the lumbar vertebrae during the squat. Changes in the loading (stress) acting on the patellofemoral (knee) joint throughout the range of motion of a squat.





Figure 1: Changes in the resistance moment arms at three points of the range of motion of the bicep curl.

and brachioradialis. It is also acknowledged that each muscle's moment arm changes throughout the range of motion. As many muscles with different attachment points and moment arms contribute to the overall muscle force during single-joint and multi-joint exercises, it is almost impossible to easily understand how all of these may change throughout the range of motion of an exercise. For the sake of simplicity, we will therefore ignore the potential changes in muscle moment arms, assume that all agonist muscle forces act at one point and focus on the position of the relevant resistance forces and hence resistance moment arm lengths throughout this paper.

The concept of resistance and joint moments can also be applied to multi-joint exercises like the squat, deadlift, bench press and cable row. The joint resistance moment arms for the lumbar spine are shown in Figure 2 for a seated cable row and bent over row. For exercises like the cable row, the line of the resistance force, which is indicated by the cable, is horizontal, which differs to the bent over row in which the resistance force acts vertically. As a moment arm is the perpendicular distance from the line of the force to the joint centre, the resistance moment arm must be vertical for the seated cable row and horizontal for the bent over row.

Figure 2: Differences in the direction of the lumbar spine resistance moment arms in the seated cable row (Figure 2a) and bent over row (Figure 2b).




VARIATIONS IN RESISTANCE AND JOINT MOMENTS AS A FUNCTION OF BODY ORIENTATION OR TYPE OF EXTERNAL LOADING

Many resistance-training exercises have variations in which the relative orientation of different body parts change with respect to gravity. For example, while a dumbbell lateral raise is normally performed standing upright, it can also be performed unilaterally while lying on one's side on an incline or flat bench. Figure 3 demonstrates how three versions of the dumbbell lateral raise differ with respect to what range of motion the resistance moment arm is maximised.

As a dumbbell provides the resistance force (Figure 3), we know that the resistance moment arm is maximised when the arm is horizontal, and, as a consequence, so too is the muscle force and joint moment. This means that when the dumbbell lateral is performed standing upright, the resistance moment arm, muscle force, and joint moment is maximised at 90° of shoulder abduction (Figure 3a). In contrast, when the exercise is performed with the exerciser lying down on a flat bench (Figure 3b), the resistance moment arm, muscle force, and joint moment is maximised with the arm adducted (0° abduction – arm touching the side of the body), and when lying on an incline bench set at ~45° these are maximised at 45° of abduction (Figure 3c). This principle can be applied to many other exercises including bicep curls, tricep extensions and external shoulder rotations, meaning that the exercise professional can manipulate the range of motion in which the greatest muscular and joint loads are applied. Another way to manipulate the range of motion in which the greatest loads are applied to the muscles is via using cable resistance (see Figure 4).

As seen in Figure 4, the resistance moment arm of the cable lateral raise is maximised when the shoulder joint is close to full abduction (i.e. arm close to vertically downward) at the start of the concentric phase as the line of force, as indicated by the cable, is almost horizontal. This means that the cable version loads the deltoid to a much greater extent in



3a



3b



3c

Figure 3: Differences in the relative shoulder joint range of motion where maximal resistance is felt in a standing (Figure 3a), lying (Figure 3b) and inclined (Figure 3c) position while performing the dumbbell lateral raise.

an adducted (lengthened) position whereas the dumbbell loads the muscle in the abducted (shortened) position. When performing the cable version, the resistance moment arm is reduced throughout the concentric range of motion as the perpendicular distance from the line of the resistance force (cable) to the shoulder joint decreases. However, the variation in the length of the resistance moment arm and hence resistance moment is much less in the cable than dumbbell version. This means that there is likely to be a more constant activation of the deltoid muscle in the cable version, with bodybuilders typically referring to this as more constant muscular tension throughout the range of motion.

VARIATIONS IN JOINT LOADING AS A FUNCTION OF LIFTER ANTHROPOMETRY

When resistance exercise forms the basis of a sport, athletes who are taller or have longer limbs are often at a mechanical disadvantage. This has been supported by studies involving Powerlifters^{3,4} and Olympic Weightlifters⁵, and is important because rather than manipulate resistance moment arms to make a sporting event like the competition bench press harder, athletes aim to achieve maximum mechanical efficiency so to lift the greatest loads during these sports. Taller lifters, with longer segments, are automatically presented with increased resistance moment arms during most competitive exercises, compared to their shorter limbed counterparts. For example, in events such as the bench press and squat, lifters with long forearms and thighs, respectively will need to lift the load a greater distance than their shorter limbed peers. These longer limb segments will therefore increase the resistance torques and work (force multiplied by displacement) required to lift these loads. This means that to complete the same competitive lift with the same load they must increase the muscle force that they can apply while maximising technical efficiency to ensure that the line of the resistance force stays as close to the primary joints as possible.





Figure 4: Position where the maximum resistance moment arm occurs for the cable deltoid lateral raise.

In contrast, in some exercises longer limbs are an advantage. For example, in the deadlift long arms may be useful as they would allow the lifter to position their trunk more vertically at the start of the lift which would reduce the lumbar resistance moment arms and work required to perform the lift.

VARIATIONS IN JOINT LOADING AS A FUNCTION OF LOAD POSITION

The position of the load and hence the length of resistance moment arm can be easily modified in many exercises to make them easier or harder. This can be seen in many single joint such as crunches, back extensions and deltoid raises whereby the further the resistances are positioned away from the joint centres, the harder the exercise becomes. For crunches and back extensions, this means that the position of the arms and/or external loads can have

Figure 5: Differences in the resistance moment arms of the upper limb as a function of the position of the upper limbs with respect to the lumbar spine in a crunch. Figure 5a (hands near hips), Figure 5b (hands around ears) and Figure 5c (hands outstretched above the head) show increasing resistance moment arms and hence an increase in exercise difficulty. Note: These differences in resistance moment arms are not completely accurate as they do not take into account the resistance moment arm of the trunk and head. Hence, the real difference in exercise difficulty will not be quite as large as demonstrated in the figures. However such a principle applies to doing weighted crunches, whereby the position of the weight is often more important than the amount of weight



a greater influence on performance than the actual magnitude of any external loads (see Figure 5). Similar concepts can be applied to multi-joint bodyweight exercises like push ups and inverted rows, whereby the length of the resistance moment arm can be reduced by performing knee or wall push ups and bent knee inverted rows, respectively.

Changing the type of load (barbell, dumbbell, sandbag, etc), relative position of the external load and/or the path in which the load travels during exercises like the squat, deadlift, bent over row, bench press and shoulder press can also change the resistance moment arm, and as a consequence, the

muscle forces that must be applied and subsequent joint moments. Excellent examples of this can be seen in the squat and deadlift, with front squats, low bar back squats and high bar back squats as well as conventional and hex/trap bar deadlifts some of the relevant options. These two deadlift variations are illustrated, with their respective resistance moment arms in Figure 6.

On this basis, an increasing research focus in resistance training biomechanics has been to quantify how variations in the position of the load and/or body segment(s) position alter the resistances moment arms and joint moments as well as the




Figure 6: Differences in the resistance moment arms around the lumbar spine as a function of the position of the barbell in the conventional (Figure 6a) and hexagonal (hex) bar (Figure 6b) deadlift versions.

Table 2. Selected studies examining variations in joint loading and moment arm lengths at key points of the ascent phase of different variations of common multi-joint lower body exercises.

Exercise	Versions Compared	Study	Primary findings
Squat	Trad, PL and Box	Swinton et al. ¹¹	Exercise variation influenced both moment arm length and joint moments. Moment arm length tended to decrease from Trad to PL to Box squats, but was not influenced by load. Lower back moments were maximised during Trad squats, hip moments, both extension and abduction, during PL squats, knee moments during Box squats, and ankle moments during Trad squats.
Squat	Narrow, medium and wide stance	Escamilla et al. ⁶	Ankle moment arm length and joint moments typically maximised during Wide stance squats, decreasing from Wide to Medium to Narrow. Similar patterns observed for both hip and knee joints too, but differences were less consistent. For example, differences in hip and knee joint moments only occurred when the knee joint was flexed 45°. A similar pattern was noted for moment arm length.
Deadlift	CDL and SDL	Escamilla et al. ⁷	Moment arms and joint moments at the hip were not influenced by exercise variation. In contrast, barbell mass and combined system mass were located more posterior to the knee during the SDL, resulting in significantly greater extension moments at the knee (peak moment approx 3-fold greater) in comparison to the CDL.
Deadlift	CDL and HBDL	Swinton et al. ¹⁰	The use of a hexagonal barbell resulted in shorter moment arms at lower back, hip and ankle. At the knee the load position was reversed to create a resistive flexor moment. These changes resulted in significantly lower extension moments at lower back and hip, with significantly greater extension moments at the knee during the HBDL compared to the CDL.
Forward Lunge	SSFL and LSFL; Stationary vs striding	Escamilla et al. ⁸	PTF compressive force and stress was greater with increased knee flexion. Between 70-90° of knee flexion, PTF force and stress was greater for a STFL than LSFL. Between 10-40° of knee flexion, PTF force and stress was greater for a stepping forward lunge than a stationary lunge (split squat) with no step forward.
Forward Lunge	SSFL and LSFL	Escamilla et al. ⁹	All lunge variations examined produced very low mean ACL TF, suggesting all are applicable for ACL rehabilitation clients. Alternatively, all lunge variations produced quite high PCL loading, meaning that these exercises should be cautious in using them with PCL rehabilitation clients. Mean PCL TF was greater in LSFL than SSFL between 0-80° of knee flexion; as well as in stationary than stepping forward lunge between 0-20° of knee flexion.

* Trad = traditional squat; PL = powerlifting squat; Box = box squat; Narrow; CDL = conventional deadlift; SDL = sumo deadlift; HBDL = hexagonal bar deadlift; SSFL = short step forward lunge; LSFL = long step forward lunge; PTF = Patello-femoral compressive force; ACL = anterior cruciate ligament; TF = tensile force; PCL = posterior cruciate ligament.

associated joint compressive, tensile and shear forces and stresses. Table 2 lists examples of selected studies that have compared variations of common multi-joint lower body resistance exercises ^{6; 7; 8; 9; 10; 11}. Interested readers should also consult other studies that have examined joint loads in resistance training exercises and how these can be modified by alterations in exercise technique ^{12; 13; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18}.

While only a snapshot of the relevant literature, a number of practical applications can be drawn from the results presented in Table 2. The first is that the relative joint loading on the lower back and hip extensors (e.g. erector spinae, gluteus maximus and hamstrings) can be increased by having the resistance moment arm further in front of the hip and lower back and by adopting a more horizontal trunk

position at the bottom position of exercises like the squat and deadlift. In contrast, greater knee joint and quadriceps loading can be achieved by having the load closer to the hip and lower back and by maintaining a more upright trunk position. When performing lunges, stepping forward with each step will increase the front leg's compressive knee joint force and stress but reduce the posterior cruciate ligament tensile force in early knee flexion compared to stationary lunges (split squats) that involve no step. Lunges with a shorter step may increase the front leg's compressive knee joint force and stress but reduce the posterior cruciate ligament tensile force compared to a longer step. Such information may be vital when designing resistance training program progressions for clients at risk or recovering from a variety of knee injuries.

CONCLUSIONS

We hope that this article has explained how an understanding of moment arms and joint moments is fundamental to best practice in resistance exercise prescription. These concepts will allow the astute exercise professional to better match their exercise prescription to each client's unique requirements and goals. Exercise professionals can achieve this by keeping up to date with new research findings and by applying their knowledge of functional anatomy and visual observation skills to predict how variations in exercise performance will likely alter the relative load at different joints and anatomical structures. The next article in this series will focus on explaining how a number of neuromechanical properties of the human musculoskeletal system may also be relevant to providing a more effective and safe exercise prescription.

REFERENCES

1. Keogh, J.W.L. (2009). Weightlifting. In D. Caine, P. Harmer & M. Schiff (Eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Sports Medicine : The Epidemiology of Injury in Olympic Sports* (pp. 336-350). Oxford, England: Blackwell.
2. Winwood, P., Hume, P.A., Keogh, J.W.L., & Cronin, J. (in press). Retrospective injury epidemiology of strongman competitors. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*.
3. Keogh, J.W.L., Hume, P.A., Pearson, S.N., & Mellow, P. (2007). Anthropometric dimensions of male powerlifters of varying body mass. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 25(2), 1365-1376
4. Keogh, J.W.L., Hume, P.A., Pearson, S.N., & Mellow, P. (2009). Can absolute and proportional anthropometric characteristics distinguish stronger and weaker powerlifters? *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 23(8), 2256–2265.
5. Fry, A.C., Ciroslan, D., Fry, M.D., LeRoux, C.D., Schilling, B.K., & Chiu, L.Z.F. (2007). Anthropometric and performance variables discriminating elite American junior men weightlifters. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 20(4), 861-866.
6. Escamilla, R.F., Fleisig, G.S., Lowry, T.M., Barrentine, S., & Andrews, J. (2001). A three-dimensional biomechanical analysis of the squat during varying stance widths. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 33(6), 984-998.
7. Escamilla, R.F., Francisco, A., Fleisig, G.S., Barrentine, S., Welch, C., Kayes, A., et al. (2000). A three-dimensional biomechanical analysis of sumo and conventional style deadlifts. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 32(7), 1265-1275.
8. Escamilla, R.F., Zheng, N., Macleod, T.D., Edwards, W.B., Hreljac, A., Fleisig, G.S., et al. (2008). Patellofemoral joint force and stress between a short- and long-step forward lunge. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 38(11), 681-690.
9. Escamilla, R.F., Zheng, N., Macleod, T.D., Imamura, R., Edwards, W.B., Hreljac, A., et al. (2010). Cruciate ligament forces between short-step and long-step forward lunge. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 42(10), 1932-1942.
10. Swinton, P.A., Stewart, A., Agouris, I., Keogh, J.W.L., & Lloyd, R. (2011). A biomechanical analysis of straight and hexagonal barbell deadlifts using submaximal loads. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 25(7), 2000–2009.
11. Swinton, P.A., Lloyd, R., Keogh, J.W.L., Agouris, I., & Stewart, A.D. (2012). A biomechanical comparison of the traditional squat, powerlifting

- squat and box squat. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 26(7), 1805–1816.
12. Chinkulprasert, C., Vachalathiti, R., & Powers, C.M. (2011). Patellofemoral joint forces and stress during forward step-up, lateral step-up, and forward step-down exercises. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 41(4), 241-248.
 13. Escamilla, R.F., Zheng, N., Imamura, R., Macleod, T.D., Edwards, W.B., Hreljac, A., et al. (2009). Cruciate ligament force during the wall squat and the one-leg squat. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 41(2), 408-417.
 14. Escamilla, R.F., Zheng, N., Macleod, T.D., Edwards, W.B., Imamura, R., Hreljac, A., et al. (2009). Patellofemoral joint force and stress during the wall squat and one-leg squat. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 41(4), 879-888.
 15. Escamilla, R.F., Zheng, N., MacLeod, T.D., Imamura, R., Edwards, W.B., Hreljac, A., et al. (2010). Cruciate ligament tensile forces during the forward and side lunge. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 25(3), 213-221.
 16. Gullett, J.C., Tillman, M.D., Gutierrez, G.M., & Chow, J.W. (2009). A biomechanical comparison of back and front squats in healthy trained individuals. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 23(1), 284-292.
 17. Russell, P.J., & Phillips, S.J. (1989). A preliminary comparison of front and back squat exercises. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 60(3), 201-208.
 18. Wretenberg, P., Feng, Y., & Arborelius, U.P. (1996). High- and low-bar squatting techniques during weight-training. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 28(2), 218-224.